

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/986,538	Applicant(s) OSUMI ET AL.	
	Examiner Susan T. Tran	Art Unit 1615	

All Participants:

(1) Susan T. Tran.

(2) J. Derek Mason.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 May 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

of record

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: to place this application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to 1) incorporate Markush group of polymer A and B from claims 4 and 5 into claim 1; 2) amend the transitional phrase "comprising" to "consisting essentially of"; 3) cancel claims 4 and 5; and 4) correct typographical error in the specification at page 4, line 9. Applicant's attorney authorized the Examiner's Amendment. .